

David H. Krieger, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 9086  
HAINES & KRIEGER, LLC  
8985 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 350  
Henderson, NV 89123  
Phone: (702) 880-5554  
FAX: (702) 385-5518  
Email: dkrieger@hainesandkrieger.com

Attorney for Plaintiff  
*EDWARD W. ORFALEA*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

---

EDWARD W. ORFALEA,

Plaintiff,  
v.

MIDCOUNTRY BANK D/B/A  
PIONEER SERVICES; EQUIFAX  
INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.:  
:  
:  
:  
**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES  
PURSUANT TO THE FAIR  
CREDIT REPORTING ACT, 15  
U.S.C. § 1681, ET SEQ.**  
:  
**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**  
:  
:

---

**INTRODUCTION**

1. The United States Congress has found the banking system is dependent upon fair and accurate credit reporting. Inaccurate credit reports directly impair the efficiency of the banking system, and unfair credit reporting methods undermine the public confidence, which is essential to the continued functioning of the banking system. Congress enacted the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* (“FCRA”), to insure fair and accurate

reporting, promote efficiency in the banking system, and protect consumer privacy. The FCRA seeks to ensure consumer reporting agencies exercise their grave responsibilities with fairness, impartiality, and a respect for the consumer's right to privacy because consumer reporting agencies have assumed such a vital role in assembling and evaluating consumer credit and other information on consumers. The FCRA also imposes duties on the sources that provide credit information to credit reporting agencies, called "furnishers."

2. The FCRA protects consumers through a tightly wound set of procedural protections from the material risk of harms that otherwise flow from inaccurate reporting. Thus, through the FCRA, Congress struck a balance between the credit industry's desire to base credit decisions on accurate information, and consumers' substantive right to protection from damage to reputation, shame, mortification, and the emotional distress that naturally follows from inaccurate reporting of a consumer's fidelity to his or her financial obligations.
3. EDWARD W. ORFALEA ("Plaintiff"), by Plaintiff's attorneys, brings this action to challenge the actions of MIDCOUNTRY BANK D/B/A PIONEER SERVICES ("PIONEER"), and EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC ("Equifax") (or jointly as "Defendants") with regard to erroneously

reporting derogatory credit information to national reporting agencies.

4. Defendants failed to properly investigate Plaintiff's disputes, damaging Plaintiff's creditworthiness.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. This Court has federal question jurisdiction because this case arises out of violation of federal law. 15 U.S.C. §1681 *et seq.*; 28 U.S.C. §1331; *Smith v. Community Lending, Inc.*, 773 F.Supp.2d 941, 946 (D. Nev. 2011).
6. This action arises out of each Defendant's violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1681(x) ("FCRA").
7. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Plaintiff is a resident of Clark County, the State of Nevada and because Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in the County of Clark, State of Nevada as they conduct business there. Venue is also proper because, the conduct giving rise to this action occurred in Nevada. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2). Further, Equifax has a registered agent of service in Nevada and is listed with the Nevada Secretary of State as a foreign limited liability company doing business in Nevada.

#### **PARTIES**

8. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in the County of Clark, State of Nevada. In addition, Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).

9. Defendant PIONEER is a corporation doing business in the State of Nevada.
10. Defendant PIONEER is a furnisher of information as contemplated by 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) that regularly and in the ordinary course of business furnishes information to a consumer credit reporting agency.
11. Defendant Equifax regularly assembles and/or evaluates consumer credit information for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports to third parties, and uses interstate commerce to prepare and/or furnish the reports. Equifax is a “consumer reporting agency” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f), doing business with its principal place of business in Georgia.
12. Unless otherwise indicated, the use of Defendants’ name in this Complaint includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers of Defendants’ named.

## **THE EQUIFAX VIOLATIONS**

### **PIONEER Misreported Credit Information**

#### **RE: Account No. 3468**

13. In an Equifax credit report dated December 12, 2016, PIONEER inaccurately reported that there was a “scheduled payment amount” of \$74, even though the debt was paid in full and no payments were due on this account creating the misleading and false impression that a debt was still owed and due.

14. On or about April 19, 2017, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2), Plaintiff disputed PIONEER's reported information regarding its reported obligation by notifying Equifax, in writing, of the incorrect and inaccurate credit information furnished by PIONEER.
15. Specifically, Plaintiff sent a letter, certified, return receipt, to Equifax (the "Equifax Dispute Letter"), requesting the above inaccurate and incorrect derogatory information be removed, corrected or deleted.
16. Upon information and belief, upon receiving the Equifax Dispute Letter, Equifax timely notified PIONEER of the dispute based on its mandated statutory duty pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i.
17. Defendants were each required to conduct an investigation into this specific account on Plaintiff's consumer report pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681i.
18. On or about May 11, 2017, Plaintiff received notification from Equifax through its "reinvestigation" (Equifax Report No. 7121007220) that PIONEER and Equifax received notice of Plaintiff's dispute pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(6).
19. A reasonable investigation by these Defendants would have indicated that the disputed credit information was inaccurate and resulted in the requested changes.

20. PIONEER and Equifax failed to conduct a reasonable investigation as required by 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681s-2(b)(1)(A) and/or 1681i(a), and wrongly verified inaccurate information in connection with Plaintiff's credit reports.

21. PIONEER and Equifax failed to review all relevant information provided by Plaintiff in the dispute to Equifax, as required by and in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681s-2(b)(1)(B) and 1681i(a), respectively.

22. PIONEER and Equifax re-reported the inaccurate derogatory information on Plaintiff's report. Specifically, PIONEER and Equifax still inaccurately reported that there was a "scheduled payment amount" of \$74, even though the debt was paid in full.

23. PIONEER and Equifax, upon receipt of Plaintiff's dispute, failed to conduct an investigation with respect to the disputed information as required by 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681s-2(b)(1)(B) and/or 1681i(a), respectively.

24. Due to PIONEER's and Equifax's failure to reasonably investigate Plaintiff's dispute, they each further failed to correct and update Plaintiff's information as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1)(E), thereby causing continued reporting of inaccurate information in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s(2)(b)(1)(C) and/or 1681e(b), respectively.

25. Plaintiff's Equifax Dispute Letter also included a statement of dispute as that term is meant pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681i(b). Specifically, the Plaintiff

requested that in the event Equifax failed to make the requested corrections identified in the Plaintiff's Equifax Dispute Letter that Equifax include a statement on the Plaintiff's credit report stating that the instant account was disputed. However, Equifax failed to provide such a statement and thereby breached its statutory obligations under 15 U.S.C. §1681i(b).

26.Upon information and belief, Equifax also failed in Plaintiff's subsequent consumer reports containing the above disputed information to clearly note that this account was disputed by the Plaintiff and provide either the Plaintiff's dispute statement or a clear and accurate codification or summary of the dispute in violation of Equifax's statutory obligations under 15 U.S.C. §1681i(c).

27.Reporting and rereporting the above-referenced derogatory information also constituted a violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681g(a) because the lack of clarity in the information reported and rereported has the tendency to confuse ordinary consumers like Plaintiff.

28.Plastic's continued efforts to correct PIONEER's and Equifax's erroneous and negative reporting of the debt by communicating Plaintiff's dispute with PIONEER and Equifax were fruitless.

29.PIONEER's and Equifax's continued inaccurate and negative reporting of the debt in light of its knowledge of the actual error was willful. Plaintiff is, accordingly, eligible for statutory damages.

30.Also as a result of PIONEER's and Equifax's continued inaccurate and negative reporting, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages, including without limitation fear of credit denials, out-of-pocket expenses in challenging the Defendants' wrongful representations, damage to his creditworthiness, and emotional distress.

31.By inaccurately reporting account information relating to the debt after notice and confirmation of its errors, PIONEER and Equifax failed to take the appropriate measures as required under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681-s(2)(b)(1)(D) and/or (E), 1681i(a) and 1681e(b), respectively.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION  
VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT  
15 U.S.C. § 1681 ET SEQ. (FCRA)**

32.Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

33.The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple willful, reckless or negligent violations of the FCRA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §1681.

34. As a result of each and every willful violation of the FCRA, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages as the Court may allow pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1); statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1); punitive damages as the Court may allow pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3) from Defendants.

35. As a result of each and every negligent noncompliance of the FCRA, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages as the Court may allow pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(2) from Defendants.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff the following relief against Defendants:

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION  
VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT  
15 U.S.C. § 1681 ET SEQ. (FCRA)**

- an award of actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1);
- award of statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1);
- an award of punitive damages as the Court may allow pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2);

- award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3), and 15 U.S.C. § 1681(o)(a)(1) against Defendant for each incident of negligent noncompliance of the FCRA; and
- any other relief the Court may deem just and proper.

**TRIAL BY JURY**

36. Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.

Dated: September 7, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

By /s/ David H. Krieger, Esq.

David H. Krieger, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 9086  
HAINES & KRIEGER, LLC  
8985 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 350  
Henderson, NV 89123  
Phone: (702) 880-5554  
FAX: (702) 385-5518  
Email: dkrieger@hainesandkrieger.com

Attorney for Plaintiff  
*EDWARD W. ORFALEA*